

Counting Modular Matrices With Specified Maximum Norm

Aloys Krieg*

*Lehrstuhl A für Mathematik
RWTH Aachen
D-52056 Aachen, Germany*

Submitted by Richard A. Brualdi

ABSTRACT

Let $N(x)$ denote the number of matrices in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with maximum norm $\leq x$. An explicit formula for $N(x)$ is derived, which is basically the partial sum of Euler's totient function $\varphi(n)$. This leads to the asymptotic result $N(x) \sim (96/\pi^2)x^2$.

A. Terras [7, p. 267] and M. Newman [6] gave an asymptotic result on the number of matrices M in the modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, whose euclidean norm $\sqrt{\text{tr}(^tMM)}$ does not exceed x . As an application of the hyperbolic lattice point theorem this was generalized to congruence subgroups of the modular group as well as certain groups over Clifford numbers acting on the k -dimensional hyperbolic space by J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald, and J. Mennicke [2, Theorem 0.6 and Corollary 4.3]. Another generalization to matrices in $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is due to D. Grenier [4], who applied a noneuclidean version of the Poisson summation formula (cf. [8]). This result was used in order to deal with the analogous problem having the maximum norm in place of the euclidean norm. Grenier [4] showed that the corresponding number of matrices $M \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with maximum norm $\leq x$ is $O(x^{n^2-n+\varepsilon})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. A related problem, to determine the probability that r integral matrices have relatively prime determinants, was dealt with by J. Hafner, K. McCurley, and P. Sarnak [5].

*e-mail: krieg@rwth-aachen.de

In this note we find the number $N(x)$ of matrices M in the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ whose maximum norm does not exceed x . We derive an explicit formula in a completely elementary way. $N(x)$ is basically the partial sum of Euler's totient function $\varphi(n)$ (see the Theorem). Well-known estimates of Euler's totient function finally lead to the asymptotic behavior, namely $N(x) \sim (96/\pi^2)x^2$.

It is remarkable that our proof only involves elementary number theory, whereas Newman's proof [6] is technical and the proofs in [2] and [7] require a strong background in hyperbolic geometry.

We always write a real 2×2 matrix M in the form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define the maximum norm of M by

$$\|M\| := \max\{|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|\}.$$

Given a real number x , set

$$N(x) := \#\{M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \|M\| \leq x\}.$$

Hence $N(x)$ counts the solutions

$$ad - bc = 1, \quad |a|, |b|, |c|, |d| \leq x, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

First we need the elementary

LEMMA. *Let a, b be relatively prime integers satisfying $a > b \geq 1$. Then there exist $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$ad - bc = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < d \leq b, \quad 0 < c < a.$$

Proof. Choose $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $au - bv = 1$. Then determine $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 < v + na =: c < a$. Hence $d := u + nb = (bc + 1)/a$ satisfies $0 < d \leq b$. ■

Now set

$$P(n) := \{M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \|M\| = n\}.$$

Then an explicit calculation yields

$$\#P(1) = 20. \quad (*)$$

If φ denotes Euler's totient function, we get the following

PROPOSITION. *Given $n > 1$, one has*

$$\#P(n) = 32\varphi(n).$$

Proof. Given $M \in P(n)$, exactly one entry of M is $\pm n$, because $n > 1$. We may multiply by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

from the left and right hand sides, when necessary, in order to obtain $a = \pm n$. Next multiply by

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and conjugate by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

if necessary, and assume $a = n$ as well as $0 < b < n$. Hence we have

$$\#P(n) = 16\#Q(n), \quad Q(n) := \left\{ M = \begin{pmatrix} n & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in P(n) : 0 < b < n \right\}.$$

If $M \in Q(n)$, then b and n are relatively prime. Given $0 < b < n$ such that b and n are relatively prime, there exists

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} n & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in Q(n)$$

due to the lemma. Any two matrices in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with (n, b) as their first rows

differ by a factor

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

on the left hand side. According to the lemma, only the cases $m = 0, -1$ occur for matrices in $Q(n)$. Hence we have

$$\#Q(n) = 2\varphi(n). \quad \blacksquare$$

Using (*) and the proposition, we obtain our main result.

THEOREM. *Given $x \geq 1$, one has*

$$N(x) = 32 \sum_{n \leq x} \varphi(n) - 12.$$

The well-known average order of Euler's totient function (cf. [1, Theorem 3.7]) yields the final

COROLLARY.

$$N(x) = \frac{96}{\pi^2} x^2 + O(x \log x) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty;$$

in particular,

$$N(x) \sim \frac{16}{\zeta(2)} x^2.$$

REMARKS.

(a) In the case of the euclidean norm the asymptotic is $6x^2$ according to [6] or [7, p. 267]. A comparison with the asymptotics for the euclidean lattice point theorem in \mathbb{R}^4 (cf. [3, p. 36]) yields

$$\frac{\#\{M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \sqrt{\mathrm{tr}({}^tMM)} \leq x\}}{\#\{M \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \sqrt{\mathrm{tr}({}^tMM)} \leq x\}} \sim \frac{12}{\pi^2 x^2}.$$

But in the case of the maximum norm we obtain

$$\frac{\#\{M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \|M\| \leq x\}}{\#\{M \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \|M\| \leq x\}} \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2 x^2}.$$

(b) Just as in [4], we get

$$\#\{M \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \det M = m, \|M\| \leq x\} = O(x^2)$$

for fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \neq 0$. Each $M \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\det M = m$ possesses a unique representation

$$M = AB, \quad A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq b < d, \quad ad = m.$$

Now $\|M\| \leq x$ yields $\|A\| \leq 2x$; hence

$$\#\{M \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \det M = m, \|M\| \leq x\} \leq \sigma_1(|m|) N(2x).$$

(c) If \mathcal{G} is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of finite index μ , one should expect (as the referee suggested) that

$$\#\{M \in \mathcal{G} : \|M\| \leq x\} \sim \frac{96}{\mu \pi^2} x^2,$$

similarly to the case of the euclidean norm (cf. [2]). This can be proved by the method above if \mathcal{G} is the principal congruence subgroup of level 2. But the general case does not seem to be accessible in this way.

REFERENCES

- 1 T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- 2 J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald, and J. Mennicke, Arithmetic applications of the hyperbolic lattice point theorem, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 57:239–283 (1988).
- 3 F. Fricker, *Einführung in die Gitterpunktlehre*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1982.
- 4 D. Grenier, A non-euclidean circle problem, Preprint, Johns Hopkins Univ., Baltimore, 1992.

- 5 J. Hafner, K. McCurley, and P. Sarnak, Relatively Prime Values of Polynomials, IBM Research Report RJ 7583 (70760), 13 July 1990.
- 6 M. Newman, Counting modular matrices with specified euclidean norm, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 47:145–149 (1988).
- 7 A. Terras, *Harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces and applications I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- 8 A. Terras, *Harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces and applications II*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

Received 23 November 1992; final manuscript accepted 13 January 1993